

# Northumberland National Park Authority

Year ending 31 March 2017

Audit Plan

February 2017

Ernst & Young LLP



**EY**

Building a better  
working world



Ernst & Young LLP  
Citygate  
St James' Boulevard  
Newcastle upon Tyne  
NE1 4JD

Tel: + 44 191 247 2530  
Fax: + 44 191 247 2501  
ey.com

Finance and Audit Group  
Northumberland National Park Authority  
Eastburn  
South Park  
Hexham  
Northumberland  
NE46 1BS

February 2017

Dear Members of the Finance and Audit Group

## Audit Plan

We are pleased to attach our Audit Plan, which sets out how we intend to carry out our responsibilities as auditor. Its purpose is to provide the Finance and Audit Group with a basis to review our proposed audit approach and scope for the 2016/17 audit, in accordance with the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014, the National Audit Office's 2015 Code of Audit Practice, the Statement of Responsibilities issued by Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited ("PSAA"), auditing standards and other professional requirements. It is also to ensure that our audit is aligned with your service expectations.

This Audit Plan summarises our initial assessment of the key risks driving the development of an effective audit for Northumberland National Park Authority ("the Authority"), and outlines our planned audit strategy in response to those risks.

We welcome the opportunity to discuss this Audit Plan with you on 6 February 2017 and to understand whether there are other matters which you consider may influence our audit.

Yours faithfully

Nicola Wright  
*For and behalf of Ernst & Young LLP*  
Enc

## Contents

<b>1. Overview .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. Financial statement risks .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3. Value for money risks .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4. Our audit process and strategy.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>5. Independence.....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Appendix A Fees.....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Appendix B UK required communications with those charged with governance ....</b>	<b>12</b>

In April 2015, Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited (“PSAA”) issued the “Statement of responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies”. It is available from the Chief Executive of each audited body and via the PSAA website ([www.psa.co.uk](http://www.psa.co.uk)).

The Statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between appointed auditors and audited bodies. It summarises where the different responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies begin and end, and what is to be expected of the audited body in certain areas.

The “Terms of Appointment from 1 April 2015” issued by PSAA sets out additional requirements that auditors must comply with, over and above those set out in the National Audit Office Code of Audit Practice (“the Code”) and statute, and covers matters of practice and procedure which are of a recurring nature.

This Audit Plan is prepared in the context of the Statement of responsibilities. It is addressed to the Finance and Audit Group, and is prepared for the sole use of the audited body. We, as appointed auditor, take no responsibility to any third party.

**Our Complaints Procedure** – If at any time you would like to discuss with us how our service to you could be improved, or if you are dissatisfied with the service you are receiving, you may take the issue up with your usual partner or director contact. If you prefer an alternative route, please contact Steve Varley, our Managing Partner, 1 More London Place, London SE1 2AF. We undertake to look into any complaint carefully and promptly and to do all we can to explain the position to you. Should you remain dissatisfied with any aspect of our service, you may of course take matters up with our professional institute. We can provide further information on how you may contact our professional institute.

# 1. Overview

This Audit Plan covers the work that we plan to perform to provide you with:

- ▶ Our audit opinion on whether the financial statements of the Authority give a true and fair view of the financial position as at 31 March 2017 and of the income and expenditure for the year then ended; and
- ▶ Our conclusion on the Authority's arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

We will also review and report to the National Audit Office ("NAO"), to the extent and in the form required by them, on the Authority's Whole of Government Accounts return. We expect to perform limited procedures on the Authority's consolidation pack, which are commensurate with the value of the resources expended and assets held by the Authority. This is in line with the requirements of the NAO.

Our audit will also include the mandatory procedures that we are required to perform in accordance with applicable laws and auditing standards.

When planning the audit we take into account several key inputs:

- ▶ Strategic, operational and financial risks relevant to the financial statements;
- ▶ Developments in financial reporting and auditing standards;
- ▶ The quality of systems and processes;
- ▶ Changes in the business and regulatory environment; and
- ▶ Management's views on all of the above.

By considering these inputs, our audit is focused on the areas that matter and our feedback is more likely to be relevant to the Authority.

## 2. Financial statement risks

We outline below our current assessment of the financial statement risks facing the Authority, identified through our knowledge of the Authority's operations and discussion with those charged with governance and officers.

Significant risks (including fraud risks)	Our audit approach
<b>Risk of fraud in revenue and expenditure recognition</b>	
<p>Under ISA (UK and Ireland) 240, there is a presumed risk that revenue may be misstated due to improper recognition.</p> <p>In the public sector, Practice Note 10 requires that auditors also consider the risk that material misstatements due to fraudulent financial reporting may arise from the manipulation of expenditure recognition.</p>	<p>We will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Review and test revenue and expenditure recognition policies;</li> <li>▶ Review and discuss with management any accounting estimates on revenue or expenditure recognition for evidence of bias;</li> <li>▶ Develop a testing strategy to test material revenue and expenditure streams;</li> <li>▶ Review and test revenue cut-off at the period end date; and</li> <li>▶ Review and test completeness of expenditure, including capital expenditure, around the period end.</li> </ul>
<b>Risk of management override</b>	
<p>As identified in ISA (UK and Ireland) 240, management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records directly or indirectly and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. We identify and respond to this fraud risk on every audit engagement.</p>	<p>Our approach will focus on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Testing the appropriateness of journal entries recorded in the general ledger and other adjustments made in the preparation of the financial statements;</li> <li>▶ Reviewing accounting estimates for evidence of management bias; and</li> <li>▶ Evaluating the business rationale for significant unusual transactions.</li> </ul>
<p>In addition to the significant risk areas highlighted above, our audit work will also consider the following audit risks which, although not likely to result in a material misstatement of the financial statements, require special audit attention.</p>	
<b>Other risks</b>	
<b>Valuation of land and buildings</b>	
<p>Land and buildings are one of the most significant balances on the Authority's Statement of Financial Position. The valuation of land and buildings is subject to a number of assumptions and judgements and even a small movement in these assumptions could have a material impact upon the financial statements. The Authority also is undertaking major capital work in year, most notably on the Sill project.</p>	<p>We will:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Review the output of the Authority's valuer;</li> <li>▶ Challenge the assumptions used by the Authority's valuer by reference to external evidence and our EY valuation experts; and</li> <li>▶ Test the journals for the valuation adjustments to check that they have been accurately processed within the financial statements.</li> </ul>
<b>Accounting for pension obligations</b>	
<p>Funding of the Authority's participation in the Local Government pension scheme will continue to have an impact on both the Authority's cash flow and liabilities.</p> <p>The pension liability is one of the most significant liabilities on the Authority's Statement of Financial Position and is calculated through the use of a number of assumptions which could have a material impact if changed.</p>	<p>Our approach will focus on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Review the output of the report from the Authority's actuary;</li> <li>▶ Review the assumptions used by the actuary to determine whether they are within our expected range; and</li> <li>▶ Test the journal entries for the pensions transactions to check that they have been accurately processed within the financial statements.</li> </ul>

## 2.1 Responsibilities in respect of fraud and error

We would like to take this opportunity to remind you that management has the primary responsibility to prevent and detect fraud. It is important that management, with the oversight of those charged with governance, has a culture of ethical behaviour and a strong control environment that both deters and prevents fraud.

Our responsibility is to plan and perform audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free of material misstatements whether caused by error or fraud. As auditors, we approach each engagement with a questioning mind that accepts the possibility that a material misstatement due to fraud could occur, and design the appropriate procedures to consider such risk.

Based on the requirements of auditing standards our approach will focus on:

- ▶ Identifying fraud risks during the planning stages;
- ▶ Enquiry of management about risks of fraud and the controls to address those risks;
- ▶ Understanding the oversight given by those charged with governance of management's processes over fraud;
- ▶ Consideration of the effectiveness of management's controls designed to address the risk of fraud;
- ▶ Determining an appropriate strategy to address any identified risks of fraud; and
- ▶ Performing mandatory procedures regardless of specifically identified risks.

### 3. Value for money risks

We are required to consider whether the Authority has put in place 'proper arrangements' to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

For 2016/17, this is based on the overall evaluation criterion:

*"In all significant respects, the audited body had proper arrangements to ensure it took properly informed decisions and deployed resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people"*

Proper arrangements are defined by statutory guidance issued by the National Audit Office. They comprise your arrangements to:

- Take informed decisions;
- Deploy resources in a sustainable manner; and
- Work with partners and other third parties.

In considering your proper arrangements, we will draw on the requirements of the CIPFA framework for local government to ensure that our assessment is made against a framework that you are already required to have in place and to report on through documents such as your annual governance statement.

We are only required to determine whether there are any risks that we consider significant, which the Code of Audit Practice defines as:

*"A matter is significant if, in the auditor's professional view, it is reasonable to conclude that the matter would be of interest to the audited body or the wider public"*

Our risk assessment supports the planning of sufficient work to enable us to deliver a safe conclusion on arrangements to secure value for money and enables us to determine the nature and extent of further work that may be required. If we do not identify any significant risks, there is no requirement to carry out further work.

Our risk assessment has therefore considered both the potential financial impact of the issues we have identified, and also the likelihood that the issue will be of interest to local taxpayers, the Government and other stakeholders. This has not identified any risks which we view as significant to our value for money conclusion.

## 4. Our audit process and strategy

### 4.1 Objective and scope of our audit

Under the Code of Audit Practice, our principal objectives are to review and report on the Authority's:

- ▶ Financial statements; and
- ▶ Arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources to the extent required by the relevant legislation and the requirements of the Code.

We issue an audit report that covers the below areas.

#### 1. Financial statement audit

Our objective is to form an opinion on the financial statements under International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland).

We report to you by exception in respect of your annual governance statement and other accompanying material as required, in accordance with relevant guidance prepared by the NAO on behalf of the Comptroller and Auditor General.

Alongside our audit report, we also:

- ▶ Review and report to the NAO on the Whole of Government Accounts return to the extent and in the form they require.
- #### 2. Arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness (value for money)

We are required to consider whether the Authority has put in place 'proper arrangements' to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

### 4.2 Audit process overview

#### Processes

Our initial assessment of the key processes across the Authority has identified the following key processes where we may seek to test key controls:

- ▶ Accounts payable;
- ▶ Accounts receivable;
- ▶ Cash disbursements and receipting;
- ▶ Financial statement close process;
- ▶ Loans and investments;
- ▶ Payroll; and
- ▶ Property, plant and equipment.



## **Analytics**

We will use our computer-based analytics tools to enable us to capture whole populations of your financial data, in particular journal entries. These tools:

- ▶ Help identify specific exceptions and anomalies which can then be subject to more traditional substantive audit tests; and
- ▶ Give greater likelihood of identifying errors than random sampling techniques.

We will report the findings from our process and analytics work, including any significant weaknesses or inefficiencies identified and recommendations for improvement, to management and the Finance and Audit Group.

## **Internal audit**

As in prior years, we will review internal audit plans and the results of their work. We will reflect the findings from these reports, together with reports from any other work completed in the year, in our detailed audit work plan, where we raise issues that could have an impact on the year-end financial statements.

## **Use of specialists**

When auditing key judgements, we are often required to rely on the input and advice provided by specialists who have qualifications and expertise not possessed by the core audit team. The areas where either EY or third party specialists provide input for the current year audit are:

Area	Specialists
Valuation of land and buildings	Authority's valuer EY property valuation experts
Pensions liability	Authority's actuary EY actuarial experts

In accordance with auditing standards, we will evaluate each specialist's professional competence and objectivity, considering their qualifications, experience and available resources, together with the independence of the individuals performing the work.

We also consider the work performed by the specialist in light of our knowledge of the Authority's environment and processes, and our assessment of audit risk in the particular area. For example, we would typically perform the following procedures:

- ▶ Analyse source data and make inquiries as to the procedures used by the expert to establish whether the source data is relevant and reliable;
- ▶ Assess the reasonableness of the assumptions and methods used;
- ▶ Consider the appropriateness of the timing of when the specialist carried out the work; and
- ▶ Assess whether the substance of the specialist's findings are properly reflected in the financial statements.

## **4.3 Mandatory audit procedures required by auditing standards and the Code**

As well as the financial statement risks (section two) and value for money risks (section three), we must perform other procedures as required by auditing, ethical and independence

standards, the Code and other regulations. We outline below the procedures we will undertake during the course of our audit.

### Procedures required by standards

- ▶ Addressing the risk of fraud and error;
- ▶ Significant disclosures included in the financial statements;
- ▶ Entity-wide controls;
- ▶ Reading other information contained in the financial statements and reporting whether it is inconsistent with our understanding and the financial statements; and
- ▶ Auditor independence.

### Procedures required by the Code

- ▶ Reviewing, and reporting on as appropriate, other information published with the financial statements, including the annual governance statement; and
- ▶ Reviewing and reporting on the Whole of Government Accounts return, in line with the instructions issued by the NAO.

Finally, we are also required to discharge our statutory duties and responsibilities as established by the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014.

## 4.4 Materiality

For the purposes of determining whether the financial statements are free from material error, we define materiality as the magnitude of an omission or misstatement that, individually or in aggregate, could reasonably be expected to influence the users of the financial statements. Our evaluation requires professional judgement and so takes into account qualitative as well as quantitative considerations implied in the definition.

At this stage, we consider the most appropriate basis for assessing planning materiality for the Authority to be total expenditure.

The table below shows the planned results if we used total gross revenue expenditure as the basis for materiality. To mitigate the risk of an unidentified material misstatement, all testing is performed using a threshold of tolerable error. As this is the second year in which we are undertaking the audit of the Authority, we have set our tolerable error at 75% or £53,250.

2015/16 audited financial statements	£000	Percentage used	Overall materiality £000
Total expenditure (surplus/deficit on provision of services)	3,576	2%	71

The amount we consider material at the end of the audit may differ from our initial determination. At this stage, however, it is not feasible to anticipate all the circumstances that might ultimately influence our judgement. At the end of the audit we will form our final opinion by reference to all matters that could be significant to users of the financial statements, including the total effect of any audit misstatements, and our evaluation of materiality at that date.

## 4.5 Fees

The duty to prescribe fees is a statutory function delegated to PSAA by the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government. PSAA has published a scale fee for all relevant bodies. This is defined as the fee required by auditors to meet statutory responsibilities under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in accordance with the NAO Code. The indicative fee scale for the audit can be found within appendix A of this report.

## 4.6 Your audit team

The engagement team is led by Nicola Wright, who has significant experience of working with the public sector and led your audit in the prior year. Nicola Wright is supported by Mark Outterside who is responsible for the oversight of the audit team and Nicholas Armstrong who is responsible for the day-to-day direction of audit work and is the key point of contact for the Finance Officer.

## 4.7 Timetable of communication and deliverables

We have set out below a timetable showing the key stages of the audit, including the value for money work and the Whole of Government Accounts. The timetable includes the deliverables we have agreed to provide to the Authority in 2016/17. These dates are determined to ensure our alignment with PSAA's rolling calendar of deadlines.

From time to time matters may arise that require immediate communication with the Finance and Audit Group and we will discuss them with the Chair as appropriate.

Following the conclusion of our audit we will prepare an Annual Audit Letter to communicate the key issues arising from our work to the Authority and external stakeholders, including members of the public.

Audit phase	Timetable	Finance and Audit Group timetable	Deliverables
Testing routine processes and controls	<b>February 2017</b>	N/A	Recommendations to management as appropriate
Year-end audit	<b>June 2017</b>	N/A	
Completion of audit	<b>August 2017</b>	<b>August 2017</b> <b>(Finance and Audit Group)</b> <b>September 2017</b> <b>(Full Authority)</b>	Report to those charged with governance via the Audit Results Report Audit report (including our opinion on the financial statements and overall value for money conclusion) Audit completion certificate Reporting to the NAO on the Whole of Government Accounts return
Conclusion of reporting	<b>September 2017</b>	<b>October 2017</b>	Annual Audit Letter

In addition to the above formal reporting and deliverables, we will seek to provide practical business insights and updates on regulatory matters.

## 5. Independence

### 5.1 Introduction

The APB Ethical Standards and ISA (UK and Ireland) 260 'Communication of audit matters with those charged with governance' require us to communicate with you on a timely basis on all significant facts and matters that bear on our independence and objectivity. The Ethical Standards, as revised in December 2010, require that we do this formally both at the planning stage and at the conclusion of the audit, as well as during the audit if appropriate. The aim of these communications is to ensure full and fair disclosure by us to those charged with your governance on matters in which you have an interest.

Required communications	
Planning stage	Final stage
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ The principal threats, if any, to objectivity and independence identified by EY including consideration of all relationships between you, your affiliates and directors and us;</li> <li>▶ The safeguards adopted and the reasons why they are considered to be effective, including any Engagement Quality Review;</li> <li>▶ The overall assessment of threats and safeguards; and</li> <li>▶ Information about the general policies and process within EY to maintain objectivity and independence.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ A written disclosure of relationships (including the provision of non-audit services) that bear on our objectivity and independence, the threats to our independence that these create, any safeguards that we have put in place and why they address such threats, together with any other information necessary to enable our objectivity and independence to be assessed;</li> <li>▶ Details of non-audit services provided and the fees charged in relation thereto;</li> <li>▶ Written confirmation that we are independent;</li> <li>▶ Details of any inconsistencies between APB Ethical Standards, the PSAA Terms of Appointment and your policy for the supply of non-audit services by EY and any apparent breach of that policy; and</li> <li>▶ An opportunity to discuss auditor independence issues.</li> </ul>

During the course of the audit we must also communicate with you whenever any significant judgements are made about threats to objectivity and independence and the appropriateness of our safeguards, for example when accepting an engagement to provide non-audit services.

We also provide information on any contingent fee arrangements, the amounts of any future contracted services, and details of any written proposal to provide non-audit services.

We ensure that the total amount of fees that EY and our network firms have charged to you and your affiliates for the provision of services during the reporting period are disclosed, analysed in appropriate categories.

### 5.2 Relationships, services and related threats and safeguards

We highlight the following significant facts and matters that may be reasonably considered to bear upon our objectivity and independence, including any principal threats. However we have adopted the safeguards below to mitigate these threats along with the reasons why they are considered to be effective.

#### ***Self-interest threats***

A self-interest threat arises when EY has financial or other interests in your entity. Examples include where we have an investment in your entity; where we receive significant fees in respect of non-audit services; where we need to recover long outstanding fees; or where we enter into a business relationship with the Authority.

At the time of writing, none of the above circumstances exist.

We believe that it is appropriate for us to undertake permissible non-audit services, and we will comply with the policies that the Authority has approved and that are in compliance with PSAA Terms of Appointment.

At the time of writing, no non-audit services are provided to the Authority.

A self-interest threat may also arise if members of our audit engagement team have objectives or are rewarded in relation to sales of non-audit services to the Authority. We confirm that no member of our audit engagement team, including those from other service lines, is in this position, in compliance with Ethical Standard 4.

There are no other self-interest threats at the date of this report.

#### ***Self-review threats***

Self-review threats arise when the results of a non-audit service performed by EY or others within the EY network are reflected in the amounts included or disclosed in the financial statements.

There are no other self-review threats at the date of this report.

#### ***Management threats***

Partners and employees of EY are prohibited from taking decisions on behalf of management of your entity. Management threats may also arise during the provision of a non-audit service where management is required to make judgements or decisions based on that work.

There are no management threats at the date of this report.

#### ***Other threats***

Other threats, such as advocacy, familiarity or intimidation, may arise.

There are no other threats at the date of this report.

#### ***Overall Assessment***

Overall we consider that the adopted safeguards appropriately mitigate the principal threats identified, and we therefore confirm that EY is independent and the objectivity and independence of Nicola Wright, the audit engagement director, and the audit engagement team have not been compromised.

### **5.3 Other required communications**

EY has policies and procedures that instil professional values as part of our firm's culture and ensure that the highest standards of objectivity, independence and integrity are maintained.

Details of the key policies and processes within EY for maintaining objectivity and independence can be found in our annual Transparency Report, which the firm is required to publish by law. The most recent version of this report is for the year ended June 2016 and can be found here:

<http://www.ey.com/uk/en/about-us/ey-uk-transparency-report-2016>

## Appendix A Fees

A breakdown of our agreed fee is shown below.

	Planned Fee 2016/17 £	Scale fee 2016/17 £	Outturn fee 2015/16 £
Opinion Audit and VFM Conclusion	11,568	11,568	13,041
<b>Total Audit Fee – Code work</b>	<b>11,568</b>	<b>11,568</b>	<b>13,041</b>
Non-audit work	-	-	-

*All fees exclude VAT.*

The agreed fee presented above is based on the following assumptions:

- ▶ Officers meeting the agreed timetable of deliverables;
- ▶ We can rely on the work of internal audit as planned;
- ▶ Our accounts opinion and value for money conclusion being unqualified;
- ▶ Appropriate quality of documentation is provided by the Authority; and
- ▶ The Authority has an effective control environment.

If any of the above assumptions prove to be unfounded, we will seek a variation to the agreed fee. This will be discussed with the Authority in advance.

Fees for the auditor's consideration of correspondence from the public and formal objections will be charged in addition to the scale fee.

## Appendix B UK required communications with those charged with governance

There are certain communications that we must provide to the Finance and Audit Group. These are detailed here:

Required communication	Reference
<p><b>Planning and audit approach</b></p> <p>Communication of the planned scope and timing of the audit including any limitations</p>	▶ Audit Plan
<p><b>Significant findings from the audit</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Our view about the significant qualitative aspects of accounting practices including accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures</li> <li>▶ Significant difficulties, if any, encountered during the audit</li> <li>▶ Significant matters, if any, arising from the audit that were discussed with management</li> <li>▶ Written representations that we are seeking</li> <li>▶ Expected modifications to the audit report</li> <li>▶ Other matters if any, significant to the oversight of the financial reporting process</li> </ul>	▶ Audit Results Report
<p><b>Misstatements</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Uncorrected misstatements and their effect on our audit opinion</li> <li>▶ The effect of uncorrected misstatements related to prior periods</li> <li>▶ A request that any uncorrected misstatement be corrected</li> <li>▶ In writing, corrected misstatements that are significant</li> </ul>	▶ Audit Results Report
<p><b>Fraud</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Enquiries of the Finance and Audit Group to determine whether they have knowledge of any actual, suspected or alleged fraud affecting the entity</li> <li>▶ Any fraud that we have identified or information we have obtained that indicates that a fraud may exist</li> <li>▶ A discussion of any other matters related to fraud</li> </ul>	▶ Audit Results Report
<p><b>Related parties</b></p> <p>Significant matters arising during the audit in connection with the entity's related parties including, when applicable:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Non-disclosure by management</li> <li>▶ Inappropriate authorisation and approval of transactions</li> <li>▶ Disagreement over disclosures</li> <li>▶ Non-compliance with laws and regulations</li> <li>▶ Difficulty in identifying the party that ultimately controls the entity</li> </ul>	▶ Audit Results Report
<p><b>External confirmations</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Management's refusal for us to request confirmations</li> <li>▶ Inability to obtain relevant and reliable audit evidence from other procedures</li> </ul>	▶ Audit Results Report
<p><b>Consideration of laws and regulations</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Audit findings regarding non-compliance where the non-compliance is material and believed to be intentional. This communication is subject to compliance with legislation on tipping off</li> <li>▶ Enquiry of the Finance and Audit Group into possible instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations that may have a material effect on the financial statements and that the Finance and Audit Group may be aware of</li> </ul>	▶ Audit Results Report

Required communication	Reference
<p><b>Independence</b></p> <p>Communication of all significant facts and matters that bear on EY's objectivity and independence</p> <p>Communication of key elements of the audit engagement director's consideration of independence and objectivity such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ The principal threats</li> <li>▶ Safeguards adopted and their effectiveness</li> <li>▶ An overall assessment of threats and safeguards</li> <li>▶ Information about the general policies and process within the firm to maintain objectivity and independence</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Audit Plan</li> <li>▶ Audit Results Report</li> </ul>
<p><b>Going concern</b></p> <p>Events or conditions identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Whether the events or conditions constitute a material uncertainty</li> <li>▶ Whether the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements</li> <li>▶ The adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Audit Results Report</li> </ul>
<p><b>Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Audit Results Report</li> </ul>
<p><b>Fee Information</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Breakdown of fee information at the agreement of the initial audit plan</li> <li>▶ Breakdown of fee information at the completion of the audit</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Audit Plan</li> <li>▶ Audit Results Report</li> <li>▶ Annual Audit Letter if considered necessary</li> </ul>



**EY** | Assurance | Tax | Transactions | Advisory

Ernst & Young LLP

© Ernst & Young LLP. Published in the UK.  
All Rights Reserved.

The UK firm Ernst & Young LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC300001 and is a member firm of Ernst & Young Global Limited.

Ernst & Young LLP, 1 More London Place, London, SE1 2AF.

[ey.com](http://ey.com)